### Case 3:18-cv-01715-ARC-PT Document 30 Filed 04/11/19 Page 1 of 6

The United States District court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania

Abdullah Ibn Jihad Friend
Plaintiff

VS.

Brad Shoemaker.
Ryan C. Barnes
Daniel Worthington
Tong R Mussare
Richard Mirabito
Harry Entz
Travis Heap

Defendants

Civil action No. 3:18-cv-17.15

FILED SCRANTON

APR 11 2019

PER DEPUTY CLERK

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

DEC 2 8 2018

DEPUTY CLERK

Plaintiff's Second Amended complaint

I. Facts

1. In May 2018 C.O. Worthington observed plaintiff and another inmate having a conversation about Islam. The C.O. proceeded to make Several derogatory Statements about plaintiffs religion. C.O. Made the Statements that Muslims are oppressive, racist, he does not like Muslim teachings on how to live oned that he does not like the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him).

## Case 3:18-cv-01715-ARC-PT Document 30 Filed 04/11/19 Page 2 of 6

- 2. On 5/15/18 Plaintiff was interrupted by Defendant C.O. worthington as plaintiff was observing an act of worship in his cell Crecitation of the call to prayer known as the adham).
- 3. Defendant gelled at plaintiff to "shot the Fock up" and went to get and uty supervisor upon investigation. The supervisor determined that plaintiff could continue with reciting the Call to prayer before plaintiff's morning prayer.
- 9. On 5/17/18 Defendant was observed by plaintiff and several other inmates rocming the hallways stalking and waiting for plaintiff to start with the adhan.
- 5. Once plaintiff began the call to prayer C.O. worthington enters 6-block and yells at plaintiff to "Shut the first up" and threatens to send plaintiff to Segregation.
- G. Plaintiff was issued a misconduct for disobaying orders and excessive noise. Plaintiff
  than filed a grievance.
- 7. At the misconduct hearing conducted by Defendants hyan C. Barnes, Horry Entz and Travis Heap, Plaintiff was told that he could not make any moise During the period between 11pm-7 am during Third shift and that the recitation of the adhan and also the recitation during plaintiff's Salach (prayer, one of daily 5) were in violation of the prisons policy of "Quiet time" during these hours.
- & Plaintiff was told his actions were "Safety and Security" issues due to the possibility of offending other inmates.
- 9. Plaintiff's grievance was deniced by Brack Shoemaker despite the signatures as witnesses to events lactions of C.O. worthington and that plaintiff was not excessive in noise or disrupting any inmakes prison routine.
- 10. Plaintiff appeals grierance response to the Prison board consisting of Defordants R. Tack McKernan, Tony R. Mussore, Richard Mirabito and Professional deny appeal
- 11. Plaintiff was given to days in Segregation as a result of practicing religion inside of plaintiff's cert on 5/17/18
- 12. Defendants action and inaction did violate Plaintiffs 1st Amendment right to practice my religion when defendants restricted plaintiff from observing the religious acts of audible prayer and recitation of the adhan (call to prayer).

Case 3:18-cv-01715-ARC-PT Document 30 Filed 04/11/19 Page 3 of 6 13. There is no penological Justification as to why the defendants restricted plaintiff from Observing the morning acts of worship.

14. The misconduct that was issued violated plaintift's 8th Amandment right not to be subject to cred and unusual punishment in as much as the plaintift was subject to 10 days disciplinary time and subject to atypical inhumane conditions and different treatment from other prisoners patting. The plaintift into a significant hardship by being expired to load noise day and night from inmakes suffering from mental illness, lack of Sleep, Dirty cells and a dirty black infested with spiders, centifiedes outs and flies, Extra thin matters, 14 hars in between meals and lack of contract with children and family members.

15. Plaintiff avers that he was subject to Conditions Solely due to practicing religion in the prescribed way and Defendants worthington's discriminating conduct towards muslims. Prescribed way and Defendants worthington's discriminating conduct towards muslims.

16. Defendant's hyan C. Bornes, Harry Entz, Travis Heap violated plaintiff's 14th Amendment

right to are process when they failed to use reasonable discretion when they denied plaintiff's request to excersise his right to call witness's to establish proof that plaintiff's volume was not excessive nor disripting inmates prison routine. 17. Defendants failed to use reasonable discretion when they solely relied on C.O. worthington discriminations misconabled.

18. Defendants A. Jack McKernan, Tony h. Mussare and hichard Mirabito infact did violate plaintiffs constitutional hights when they beared about the situation and failed to rectify the problem thus maintaining a custom and phantom policy (non-existing) lacking any penalogical Justification.

19. Defendants McKernan, Mirabito, Mussare are liable to the extant that they are Policy makers who maintain a practice and custom violating plaintiff's constitutional right to freely practice religion, when they arbitrally promulgated a ghost policy (non-existing) or regulation that impinges on muslims, such as the plaintiffs constitutional right prohibiting them to freely practice mandatory aspects of the Islamic heligion.

20. All Defendants here response is an exagerratted response in as much 11.

20. All Defendants here response is an exagerrated response in as much that the reasoning does not relate to a legitimate Security concern and lacks a legitimate femological Justification for muslims to practice their religion.

21. The Facts above constitute a violation of the plaintiffs 1st 8th and 14th Amendment hights of the United States Constitution.

## I helief

- Compensatory Damages in the amount of \$250,000 Jointly
- punitive Damages in the amount of \$100,000 from each defendant seperatly and severly
- Mental and Emotional distress damages in the amount of \$10,000 from each Defendant
  - nominal Damages
  - Trial by Jury on all issues triable by Jury
- Declaratory Judgement declaring that defendants in Fact violated plaintills
- Plaintiff reserves right to amend complaint

December 20, 2018

277 west Third St Lycoming Country Prison

# Case 3:18-cv-01715-ARC-PT Document 30 Filed 04/11/19 Page 5 of 6

Defendants actions violated Plaintiffs rights, 1st, 8th and 14th ammelments of the constitution of the United States.

Plaintiff reallege and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-20

Plaintiff has no plain adequate or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs described herein, Plaintiff has been and will continue to be irreparably injured by the conduct of the defendants unless this court grants the declaratory and injunctive relief which plaintiff sucks.

### Case 3:18-cv-01715-ARC-PT Document 30 Filed 04/11/19 Page 6 of 6

III verification

Plaintiff declares under penalty of peroury and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 746 that the forgoing complaint is true and corned based upon personal Knowledge.

December 20, 2018

277 west Third St Zycoming Canty Prison